ENERGY FLEXIBILITY OF THERMAL ENERGY STORAGE IN THE CONTROL OF BUILDING ENERGY SYSTEMS

Christian Finck
Eindhoven University of Technology – Building Physics and Services
c.j.finck@tue.nl

12th IEA Heat Pump Conference, Rotterdam, May 15th-18th, 2017

Outline

I.   Main objective PhD

II.   Thermal energy storage (TES) in buildings

III.   Simulation case study "Energy flexibility of TES in buildings with optimal control"

IV. Current work

I. Main objective PhD

Determination and validation of energy flexibility of thermal energy storage (TES) in the control of building energy systems

- potential energy flexibility of different TES in buildings

- potential control strategies enabling energy flexibility of TES in buildings

- simulation/experimental case studies

II. Thermal energy storage in buildings

Power density

↑

Energy density

|			
Water (hot-HW, cold)		Building thermal mass (BTM)	Sensible
Phase change materials (PCM)		Ice	Latent
	Thermo-chemical materials (TCM)		Thermo-chemical

III. Simulation case study - "Energy flexibility of TES in buildings with optimal control"

Objective: Comparison of short-term energy flexibility of **different TES tanks** using optimal control

https://openclipart.org/

Objective: Comparison of **short-term** energy flexibility of different TES tanks using **optimal control**

<u>Objective:</u> Comparison of short-term **energy flexibility** of different TES tanks using optimal control

→ Determination of energy flexibility using key performance indicators *

$flexibility\ factor$ ($ability\ to\ shift\ energy\ use\ for\ heating$)

$$flexibility\ factor = \frac{\int q_{heating,\ low\ price\ time}\ dt - \int q_{heating,\ high\ price\ time}\ dt}{\int q_{heating,\ low\ price\ time}\ dt + \int q_{heating,\ high\ price\ time}\ dt}$$

* Overview of flexibility indicators in: Clauss, Finck, Vogler-Finck, Beagon – 2017 – BS2017
"Control strategies for building energy systems to unlock demand side flexibility – A review"

Simulation results short-term energy flexibility with optimal control:

<u>Conclusions from case study:</u>

- Water, PCM, and TCM tanks can be designed to provide short-term energy flexibility

- Water, and PCM tanks can achieve higher short-term energy flexibility than TCM tanks

- Flexibility factor has limitations

  → Further work on flexibility indicators using optimal control

IV. Current work

Experimental case study "Model-predictive control (MPC) of heating system with water TES in a Dutch residential building providing short-term energy flexibility"

System set up:

- 23 m² PVT (Triple Solar)

- 8 kW HP (NIBE)

- 800 l space heating water tank

- 180 l domestic hot water tank

Thank you for your attention

Christian Finck
Eindhoven University of Technology – Building Physics and Services
c.j.finck@tue.nl